

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIT	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/709,001	04/06/2004		Oscar Adolfo MORENO	060452-0004	3000
20572	7590	07/28/2005		. EXAMINER	
GODFREY 780 NORTH		•	BOLES, DEREK		
MILWAUKEE, WI 53202			•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	·			3749	

DATE MAILED: 07/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Tala
		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/709,001	MORENO, OSCAR ADOLFO
Office Actio	n Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Derek S. Boles	3749
The MAILING DA Period for Reply	TE of this communicat	ion appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
	ITABY DEDIAN EAD	REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 M	MONTH(S) EDOM
THE MAILING DATE OF - Extensions of time may be avairable after SIX (6) MONTHS from the - If the period for reply specified and are spec	F THIS COMMUNICATION IN THE PROPERTY IN THE THIS COMMUNICATION IN THE PROPERTY IN THE THIS COMMUNICATION IN THE THIS COMMU	TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ration. ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
• •	e later than three months after the	by statute, cause the application to become Algorithms described in mailing date of this communication, even if	, - ,
Status	•		
1) Responsive to cor	mmunication(s) filed o	n <u>16 May 2005</u> .	
2a) This action is FIN	AL . 2b)[☐ This action is non-final.	
3) Since this applica	tion is in condition for	allowance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accorda	nce with the practice ι	ınder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
isposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are	e pending in the applic	ation.	
4a) Of the above of	claim(s) is/are w	vithdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is	/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are	e rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is	-		• . •
8) Claim(s) ar	e subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		1	
9) The specification is	s objected to by the Ex	kaminer.	
	_	are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ obje	
		n to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	
•		·	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) I he oath or declar	ation is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119		
		foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)⊠ All b)□ Some	•		
<u></u>	•	cuments have been received.	Amalinista a Ala
	• • •	cuments have been received in A	
3. Copies of the	ie cerunea copies of tr		received in this National Stage
application	from the International	Riliagii (Di ii Diiiv 1 / 3/47)	
• •	from the International etailed Office action fo	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). or a list of the certified copies not	received

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)

6) Other: _____

Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Attachment(s)

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the central opening must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Application/Control Number: 10/709,001

Art Unit: 3749

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim(s) 1, 2, 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Watson (6,241,603) in view of Lowinger (2,080,726). Watson discloses all of the limitations of the claim(s) except for dotted lines on its surface. Lowinger discloses the presence of a dotted lines on its surface. See 4. Hence, one skilled in the art would find it obvious to modify the system of Watson to include the dotted lines on its surface of Lowinger for the purpose of increased applicability.

Regarding claim 3, a mere change in shape is not a patentable distinction over the prior art. See In re Dailey, 149 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1976).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 3749

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Derek S. Boles at (571) 272-4872.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (EDP).

D.S.B.

DEREKS BOLES
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 3700

7/23/05